

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.3089 of 2015

Date of Decision.21.02.2015

Surjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Punjab Water Resources Management and Development Corporation
Limited

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. Satnam Singh Walia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner's only grievance is for payment of interest for the period of delay in making the payment of leave encashment due to the petitioner on attaining the age of superannuation. The petitioner's request will be considered through a representation made in that regard and if it is not met with successfully, he will be at liberty to approach the Civil Court of ordinary jurisdiction and secure the relief of what he is seeking for.

2. The writ petition is not appropriate remedy and it is disposed of as above.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

February 21, 2015
Pankaj*