

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

CWP No.3087 of 2015
Date of Decision : 27.2.2015

Balwinder SinghPetitioner

Vs.

State Transport Commissioner, Punjab and anotherRespondents

...

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

...

Present : Mr. Anil Ahluwalia, Advocate for the petitioner.

...

1. To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
2. Whether the judgement should be reported in Digest ?

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this court by way of instant petition, under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of Mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that when the grievance of the petitioner was not being redressed, he finally approached the respondent authorities by way of self contained legal notice dated 2.2.2015 (Annexure P-2), but the same is also pending decision. He further submits that the petitioner will be satisfied in case respondent no.2 is directed to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner, within a reasonable time.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and without

expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, including the issue of limitation, if any, Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Jalandhar-respondent no.2 is directed to look into the matter, consider the grievance of the petitioner, raised vide his legal notice dated 2.2.2015 (Annexure P-2) and decide the same at an early date, by passing an appropriate order thereon, in accordance with law, but in any case within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

With the observations made above, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

27.2.2015
GS

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE