

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 2976 of 2015
Date of decision : March 19, 2015

Naresh Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. D. R. Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per the information sought under the Right to Information Act, 2005 the petitioner was promoted as Sub Inspector on 30.3.2007 and he is senior most Sub Inspector but yet his claim for promotion has not been considered whereas the persons who have been working as Sub Inspector (Operational) have been promoted. He contends that the petitioner had already sent a legal notice dated 31.12.2014 (Annexure P-10) but the respondents have not passed any order thereon.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case the appropriate direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the legal notice (Annexure P-10).

The present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Director General of Police, Haryana-respondent No.2 to consider the legal notice (Annexure P-10) and take appropriate decision in accordance with law preferably within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case the claim of the petitioner is accepted then consequential benefits, if any, be given to the petitioner within a further period of two months. In case the respondents do not grant any relief then a well reasoned and speaking order be passed and conveyed to the petitioner.

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

March 19, 2015
archana