

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

213

CWP-2949-2015

Date of decision: 29.06.2015

Dharam Pal and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL***

Present: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. S.S. Siao, Advocate
for HUDA.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that inadvertently Annexure P10 which is the impugned order passed by the respondent authorities has been omitted to be challenged in the present writ petition. He further states that he may be allowed to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to the petitioners to challenge Annexure P10 by filing fresh petition after furnishing better particulars.

Dismissed as withdrawn. It shall, however, be open to the petitioners to file fresh petition to challenge Annexure P10, in accordance with law.

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

**(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE**

June 29, 2015.

Davinder Kumar