

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.2865 of 2015

Date of Decision:- 08.04.2015.

Sayyad

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Sudhir Rana, Advocate for
Mr. Virendra Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

The sole grievance of the petitioner in this writ petition is that the amount of compensation to which he is entitled to in law in terms of the land acquisition award No.17 dated 13.08.2013, passed by Land Acquisition Collector, Mewat-Nuh in respect of the acquisition of land of village Rojka *Hadbast* No.173, Tehsil Nuh, District Mewat-Nuh has not been paid to him so far.

Though we are aware of the fact that the petitioner has got effective alternative remedy, however, with a view to minimize the hardship and the fact that almost two years have gone after the

award was passed, we dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the second respondent to verify the petitioner's claim, if any, and inform him with regard to the compensation claimed, and if it is found that any amount is still due, let the same be released along with statutory interest etc. within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

April 08, 2015.

sandeep sethi