

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

C.W.P. No. 2859 of 2015

Date of Decision: February 19, 2015

Madan Lal

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

Present: Mr. Onkar Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

Instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant 18% interest on the delayed payment due to the petitioner.

Learned counsel of the petitioner submits that instant writ petition be treated as a representation and direction may be issued to the respondents-authorities to pass an appropriate order within a time-framed period.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and after perusing the paper-book, however, without commenting on merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents authorities to consider the writ petition as representation and pass a speaking order thereon in accordance with law. The petitioner will be at

liberty to make a comprehensive representation along with writ petition. If that is done, the same shall be decided within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and copy of the order so passed shall be communicated to the petitioner forthwith.

February 19, 2015
vkd

[Paramjeet Singh]
Judge