

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 283 of 2015

DATE OF DECISION : 09.01.2015

Surender Singh @ Sunder Singh

.... PETITIONER

Versus

Haryana Urban Development Authority, Panchkula and others

.... RESPONDENTS

CORAM :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * *

SATISH KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has filed the instant petition seeking direction to the respondents to allot him a plot under the oustee quota policy dated 18.03.1992, copy of which has been annexed with the petition as Annexure P-2.

It is the case of the petitioner that vide award dated 06.12.1995, his half share in the land measuring 24 kanals 8 marlas was acquired and in this regard, under the oustee policy prevalent at that time, he had applied for allotment of a plot of suitable size, but his prayer was not considered. It is the further case of the petitioner that on 05.09.2013, he submitted a detailed representation to the Estate Officer, HUDA, Faridabad (respondent No.3 herein), copy of which has been annexed with the petition as Annexure P-6,

but till date, no action has been taken on the same.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, without issuing notice of motion as it will un-necessary delay the matter, we dispose of this petition with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider and decide the said representation dated 05.09.2013 (Annexure P-6) in accordance with law, by passing a speaking order, expeditiously, preferably within a period of three months.

**(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

January 09, 2015
ndj

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**