

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

F.A.O.No.1173 of 2012 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: October 29<sup>th</sup>, 2015

HMT Limited, Pinjore, District Panchkula

...Appellant

Versus

M/s Kohinoor Tractor Centre, Maharashtra & others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE**

Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?  
To be referred to the Reporters or not?  
Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr.Vaibhav Sahni, Advocate,  
for the appellant.

Mr.Vivek Suri, Advocate,  
for the respondents.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)**

Mr.Vaibhav Sahni, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant submits that during the pendency of the appeal, the Arbitrator appointed has already pronounced the award and, therefore, no cause of action survives in the present appeal, which has arisen against the order passed under Section 9 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996. This fact is not disputed by Mr.Vivek Suri, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents.

In view of such situation, no cause of action survives in the present appeal. The same is disposed of as infructuous.

**October 29<sup>th</sup>, 2015**  
**ramesh**

**( AMIT RAWAL )**  
**JUDGE**