

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision:-24.12.2015

(1) CWP No.27259 of 2015

Ch.Zile SinghPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others
.....Respondents

(2) CWP No.27306 of 2015

Amitabh DuttaPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others
.....Respondents

(3) CWP No.27311 of 2015

Dr. Mrs. Chanderkala YadavPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others
.....Respondents

(4) CWP No.27324 of 2015

Rajesh SananPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others
.....Respondents

(5) CWP No.27330 of 2015

Madhu SinghPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN.

Present:- Mr. Akshay Ringu, Advocate
Mr. Avnow Kumar, Advocate
Mr. Ankit Shah, Advocate
Mr. Pratak Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SATISH KUMAR MITTAL, J.(Oral)

This order will dispose of CWP Nos.27259, 27306, 27311, 27324, 27330 of 2015.

In all these cases, it is the case of the petitioners that they were allotted plot in draw of lot in Sectors-44-47, Faridabad in the year 2002-2003. It is further the case of the petitioners that till date the possession of those plots have not been given irrespective of the fact that they have made the entire payment, on the ground that the plots which were allotted to the petitioners, fall on the forest land. It is further the case of the petitioners that till date no alternative allotment has been made to the petitioners, whereas in similar circumstances, the alternative allotment has been made to the plot holders whose plots were also falling under forest area.

It is also further the case of the petitioners that certain vacant plots are available with HUDA which could be allotted to the petitioners as alternative plots. It is further the case of the petitioners that their case is covered by decision of this Court in CWP No.9338 of

2013 decided on 27.1.2014. However, before approaching to this Court the petitioners have not moved any detailed representation to the authorities.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioners, we dispose of this writ petition with liberty to the petitioners to make a detailed representation with regard to their claim before the appropriate authorities. If any such representation is filed by the petitioners within a period of one month from today, we direct the Estate Officer, HUDA-respondent No.5 herein, to consider and decide the same by passing a speaking order after providing an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, expeditiously and preferably within a period of three months.

(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

24.12.2015
reema

(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE