

**CWP-4541-2013**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-4541-2013**

**Date of Decision: 10.09.2015**

Parmod Sharma

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. And others

... Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH**

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?.
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?.
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S.Punia, Advocate,  
for the respondents.

**Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that since assessment has been done under Section 126 of the Electricity Act, therefore, the petitioner has preferred appeal (Annexure P-7) under Section 127 of the Electricity Act and the same is maintainable. He further states that his appeal (Annexure P-7) has not been decided by the competent authority. He prays that direction may be issued to the concerned authority to decide the appeal (Annexure P-7) within the stipulated period.

**CWP-4541-2013**

In view of prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the concerned authority to decide the appeal (Annexure P-7) filed by the petitioner, by passing a speaking order, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

**10.09.2015**  
parveen kumar

**(Paramjeet Singh)**  
**Judge**