

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH.

CWP No.2715 of 2015  
Date of Decision : 18.2.2015

Bhim Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

...

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

...

Present : Mr. Maninder Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

...

1. To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
2. Whether the judgement should be reported in Digest ?

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this court by way of instant writ petition, under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of Certiorari/Mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, at the very outset, fairly states that he does not intend to press this writ petition for a writ in the nature of Certiorari, for the time being. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that although a request was made by the Sarpanch of the village vide Annexure P-9, but the same was not comprehensive one. He further submits that given an opportunity, he himself shall issue a self contained legal notice on behalf of the petitioner to the competent authority i.e. respondent no.4 and he may be directed to consider and decide the same, within a reasonable time.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, Superintending Engineering, Public Health, Engineering Services, Bhiwani, the charge of which is with Superintending Engineer, Irrigation Department, District Bhiwani-respondent no.4 is directed that if the petitioner approaches him by moving an appropriate legal notice within a period of three weeks from today, he shall consider and decide the same at an early date, by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law and also keeping in view the different analysis reports of water samples on the issue, whether the water of the existing tube well is portable or not. However, respondent no.4 shall pass an appropriate order within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

With the above said observations made and directions issued, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

18.2.2015  
GS

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)  
JUDGE