

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

121

CWP No. 2709 of 2015

Date of Decision: March 03, 2015

Amarjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Narinder Kumar Vadehra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

It is the contention of the counsel for the petitioner that the husband of the petitioner, namely, Joginder Singh son of Udham Singh resident of Kahnuwan died because of electrocution when he came into contact with live electric wire while he was going to the fields for giving tea to the labourers which was broken and was lying in the field. The incident has occurred because of the negligence on the part of the respondent-Corporation. Petitioner had submitted a detailed representation dated 12.05.2014 (Annexure P-4) to respondents No. 2 to 4 but no response thereto has been received. Counsel contends that no decision thereon has been taken or conveyed to the petitioner. He prays that liberty may be granted to the petitioner to file a detailed comprehensive representation claiming compensation from the respondents, in which the petitioner

intends to rely upon judicial precedents in support of the claim, which representation will be filed within a period of three weeks from today which may be directed to be considered and decided by the respondents within some specified time.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a detailed comprehensive representation to respondents No. 2 to 4 within a period of three weeks from today. If such a representation is made, the same shall be considered and decided by the above-said respondents within a period of two months from the date of submission of such representation. Decision taken thereon shall be conveyed to the petitioner forthwith. In case the claim of the petitioner is accepted, the consequential benefits be released to her within a further period of one month.

It goes without saying that the petitioner shall be entitled to avail of her remedies if the decision taken by the respondents is not to her satisfaction.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

March 03, 2015
pj

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE