

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.2707 of 2015

Date of decision: 18.2.2015

Prem Lata and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr.Vishal Garg Narwana, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

In an identical case, the following order was passed : -

“ Petitioners have filed this petition in the nature of Mandamus seeking a direction to the respondents to provide them employment as per the rehabilitation and re-settlement scheme dated 18.11.1993.

It is admitted position that the controversy involved in this petition is squarely covered by a judgment of this Court dated 26.11.2009 passed in CWP No.787 of 2008. An appeal filed against this judgment also came to be dismissed in LPA No.1412 of 2009 (O&M) vide order dated 21.1.2010. Even the S.L.P. filed in the Hon'ble Supreme Court has also been dismissed. The relevant observations made in L.P.A. No.1412 of 2009 (O&M) are as under : -

- “5. The facts mentioned above clearly show that the direction granted by learned Single Judge is fully

justified. The respondents lost their lands for a public project and under the policy for rehabilitating them, jobs had to be given within reasonable time.

6. We, thus, do not find any error in the view taken by the learned Single Judge. The appeal is dismissed. However, as prayed for by learned counsel for the State, time for implementation of the direction is extended up to March 31, 2010.”

In view of the above legal position, this petition is disposed of in terms of the above observations. Petitioners shall be entitled to the similar relief as granted in the aforementioned judgment.

This petition is disposed of *mutatis mutandis* in the above terms.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

February 18, 2015
Paritosh Kumar