

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 3436 of 2014

Date of Decision: 28.4.2015

Moti Lal and another

....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents.

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL.
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL.**

PRESENT: Mr. N.D. Achint, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Palika Monga, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Atul Aggarwal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Mr. Aashish Chopra, Advocate for respondent No.5.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J.

1. The petitioners, by way of instant petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, have prayed for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the notifications dated 6.1.2006 (Annexure P-1) issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (in short "the Act") and dated 9.8.2006 (Annexure P-5) under Section 6 of the Act and all consequential proceedings including the award dated 7.12.2006 (Annexure P-9) to the extent of the residential houses owned and possessed by them situated in Arjun Nagar, Tehsil and District Gurgaon as the acquisition proceedings have lapsed in view of Section 24(2) of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in

Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 (hereinafter referred to as "2013 Act").

2. Government of Haryana issued a notification dated 6.1.2006 (Annexure P-1) under Section 4 of the Act followed by notification dated 9.8.2006 (Annexure P-5) under Section 6 of the Act for acquisition of land measuring 304 acres for development of residential and commercial sector including the land of the petitioners. The petitioners filed objections under Section 5-A of the Act on 30.1.2006 (Annexure P-3). They had constructed their houses on the land in question. The award was passed on 7.12.2006 (Annexure P-9). The respondents have already released more than 50% of the acquired land but their land has not been released from the acquisition. They are still in physical possession of the land in question. According to the petitioners, the acquisition proceedings have lapsed in view of Section 24(2) of the 2013 Act. Hence, the present writ petition.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are in physical possession of the land in dispute. It was claimed that in such circumstances, in view of Section 24(2) of the 2013 Act, the notifications under Sections 4 and 6 of the Act had lapsed. It was further submitted that since no demand notice claiming the relief as claimed in respect of lapse of notifications has been filed with the respondents, liberty be granted to the petitioners to file a detailed and comprehensive representation before the appropriate authority by incorporating the grievance as raised in the present writ petition, however, direction be issued to the authority concerned to decide the representation expeditiously in a time bound manner in accordance with law.

4. After hearing learned counsel for the parties, perusing the present petition and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, we dispose of the present petition by granting liberty to the petitioners to file a detailed and comprehensive representation raising all the pleas as raised in the present writ petition before the appropriate authority. It is directed that in the event of a representation being filed by the petitioners within a period of two months from today, the same shall be decided in accordance with law by passing a speaking order and after affording an opportunity of hearing to them within a period of four months from the date of receipt of representation. The petitioners shall be entitled to lead any evidence to substantiate their claim before the concerned authority. Till the matter is decided by the said authority, status quo shall be maintained by the parties. It is, however, made clear that in case no such representation is filed within the stipulated period as noticed above, the interim order shall cease to operate, thereafter.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

April 28, 2015
gbs

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE