

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

MUKESH KUMAR SALUJA
2015.02.19 10:16
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

**CWP No.2685 of 2015
Date of decision:18.02.2015**

Sandeep Gogia

...Petitioner

Versus

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam, Hisar and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr.Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this Court by way of instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of certiorari/mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not intend to press this writ petition for the writ in the nature of certiorari, for the time being. He further submits that when the grievance of the petitioner was not being redressed, he finally approached the respondent authorities, by way of representation dated 4.11.2014 (Annexure P-9), but the same is also pending decision. He submits that the petitioner will be satisfied in case respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide his representation, within a reasonable time.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, Superintending Engineer, Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran

Nigam Ltd., Hisar-respondent No.2, is directed to look into the matter, consider

MUKESH KUMAR SALUJA
2015.02.19 10:26
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CWP No.2685 of 2015

-2-

the grievance of the petitioner raised vide his representation dated 4.11.2014 (Annexure P-9) and decide the same at an early date, by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law, but in any case within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

18.02.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE