

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-959-2012 (O&M)

Date of decision : 17.07.2015

Sukhdev Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Jasbir Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Manuj Chadha, Advocate,
for Mr. Amit Aggarwal, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present writ petition under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of a direction to the respondents to release the full pension, Gratuity and leave encashment etc. to the petitioner along with interest.

The learned counsel for the petitioner states that all the dues have been received by him, however, no amount has been paid towards interest on the delayed payment.

In view of the above statement, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

However, the petitioner is at liberty to move appropriate representation to the authorities for grant of interest on the delayed payment. If such a representation is filed within four weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, the competent authority shall look into the same and pass appropriate order, in terms of the decisions rendered in *A.S. Randhawa Vs. State of Punjab, 1997(3) S.C.T. 468*, and *The Financial Commissioner, Haryana Vs. Hasan Singh Kanwar, 2010(1) SLR 788*, within eight weeks from the date of its filing. In case, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to the benefit sought, the same be released to him within eight weeks thereafter.

Disposed of.

17.07.2015
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE