

108
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.26761 of 2015(O&M)
Date of Decision: **December 22, 2015**

Rajbir

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

--

Present: - Mr.Sudhir Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

-

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

The petitioner is working as a Clerk on daily wages with the Haryana Cooperative Sugar Mill Ltd, Rohtak. His grievance is that though as per the seniority list Annexure P-1, prepared by the respondent – Sugar Mill, he is shown at Sr.No.1, however, without considering his case, one Dalsher – respondent No.4, junior to the petitioner, has been made Seasonal Permanent vide order dated 7.11.2007. The petitioner has been repeatedly representing to the respondents including serving the legal notice dated 15.9.2015 (Annexure P-3) to grant similar benefit to the petitioner in view of his seniority over respondent No.4, but the respondent authorities have so far not considered his claim.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states, that at this stage, the petitioner will be satisfied, if directions are issued to respondent No.3 to take a decision on the legal notice (Annexure P-3).

In view of above, without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider the claim of the petitioner as contained in the legal notice dated 15.9.2015 (Annexure P-3) and dispose of the same by passing a speaking order expeditiously, preferably, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

December 22, 2015

gian

**(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE**