

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

MUKESH KUMAR SALUJA
20.02.2015
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

**CWP No.2674 of 2015
Date of decision:18.02.2015**

Gaganjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Learned District & Sessions Judge, Sangrur and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Ms.Saloni Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this Court by way of instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that when the grievance of the petitioner was not being redressed, he finally approached the respondent authorities, by way of representation dated 19.9.2013 (Annexure P-4), but the same is also pending decision. She further submits that the petitioner will be satisfied in case respondent No.1 is directed to consider and decide his representation, within a reasonable time.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, District & Sessions Judge, Sangrur-respondent No.1, is directed to look into the matter, consider the grievance of the petitioner raised vide his representation dated 19.9.2013 (Annexure P-4) and decide the same at

an early date, by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance

MUKESH KUMAR SALUJA
2015-02-19 10:26
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CWP No.2674 of 2015

-2-

with law, but in any case within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

18.02.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

MUKESH KUMAR SALUJA
2015.02.19 10:16
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

**CWP No.2674 of 2015
Date of decision:18.02.2015**

Gaganjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Learned District & Sessions Judge, Sangrur and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Ms.Saloni Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this Court by way of instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that when the grievance of the petitioner was not being redressed, he finally approached the respondent authorities, by way of representation dated 19.9.2013 (Annexure P-4), but the same is also pending decision. She further submits that the petitioner will be satisfied in case respondent No.1 is directed to consider and decide his representation, within a reasonable time.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, District & Sessions Judge, Sangrur-respondent No.1, is directed to look into the matter, consider the grievance of the petitioner raised vide his representation dated 19.9.2013 (Annexure P-4) and decide the same at an early date, by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance

with law, but in any case within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

18.02.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE