

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.26630 of 2015(O&M)

Date of decision:21.12.2015

Sanjay Yadav

....Petitioner

VERSUS

Union Territory, Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT GUPTA

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RAJ RAHUL GARG

Present: Mr. L.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

HEMANT GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that there is a typographical mistake in the writ petition in as much as the house of the petitioner has not been demolished, though it is so mentioned in the writ petition.

The petitioner claims to be entitled to a dwelling unit on account of the fact that he was living in Colony No.4, Chandigarh. Similar matter has been considered by Division Bench of this Court in CWP No.2317 of 2014 titled Dinesh Kumar and others v. U.T. of Chandigarh and others, decided on 22.12.2014.

In view of the said decision, we dispose of the present writ petition with a direction to the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner in accordance with the directions contained in Dinesh Kumar's case (supra).

**(HEMANT GUPTA)
JUDGE**

DECEMBER 21, 2015
'D. Gulati'

**(RAJ RAHUL GARG)
JUDGE**