

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.26629 of 2015
Date of Decision: December 21, 2015

M/s Jitco Overseas Projects

....Petitioner

versus

The State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI.

Present: Mr.Rajiv Agnihotri, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-.-

1. *Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioner's grievance in the instant writ petition is against non-adjudication of its claim for awarding interest on the refund granted to it in respect of the tax levied under the Haryana Value Added Tax Act 2003. The petitioner's case is that the aforesaid tax was erroneously levied and pursuant to the directions issued by this Court, the same has been refunded but without any interest thereon. The petitioner thereafter raised the claim before respondent No.6 vide representation-cum-notice dated 28.01.2015 for the award of interest. As no decision has been taken thereupon, the instant writ petition has been filed.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and considering the nature of relief sought but without expressing any views on merits of the petitioner's claim, we dispose of this writ petition with a direction to respondent

No.6 to consider the above-mentioned representation-cum-notice of the petitioner and adjudicate its claim by passing a reasoned order, within a period of two months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.

Ordered accordingly.

Dasti.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

December 21, 2015
mohinder

[P.B.BAJANTHRI]
JUDGE