

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-2956-2014

Date of decision:- 06.10.2015

Mohan Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CWP-7602-2014

Goverdhan Dass Chandan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CWP-7640-2015

Saroj Bala and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr. Randeep Singh Dhull, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rahul Dev Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Raman Gaur, Advocate,
for respondents No. 2 and 3 – HUDA.

Mr. Jagdish Marwaha, Special Counsel,
for respondent No. 4 and 5.

* * * *

S.J. VAZIFDAR, A.C.J.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners states that

the relief in terms of prayer (a) has been erroneously sought. It is, therefore,

not pressed.

2. The petitioners have sought an order directing the respondents to allot the alternate plots.

3. The petitioners had earlier been allotted the plots by HUDA. The Railway Authorities acquired the same under the Railways Act, 1989. The HUDA has allotted the alternate plots. The petitioners' grievance in this regard, therefore, stands redressed.

4. The petitioners have also sought compensation in respect of the construction raised on the plots acquired by the Railway Authorities. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the plots were illegally acquired without issuing any notice for acquisition.

5. We express no view on the merits of the matter. The petitioners are at liberty to adopt appropriate proceedings.

6. Disposed of.

(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

06.10.2015

Amodh