

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

1. RSA No.888 of 2008(O&M)
Date of decision: 23.01.2015

Tara Bai and others

...Appellants

Versus

Ram Kishan and others

... Respondents

2. RSA-3322-2006(O&M)

Ram Kishan and others

...Appellants

Versus

Surender and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Gaurav Gogna, Advocate for
Mr. Gaurav Mohunta, Advocate,
for the appellants in RSA-888-2008; and
for the respondents in RSA-3322-2006.

Mr. MS Kathuria, Advocate for
Mr. Rakesh Nehra, Advocate,
for the appellants in RSA-3322-2006; and
for the respondents in RSA-888-2008.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Mr. Gaurav Gogna, learned counsel for the appellants [RSA-888-2008], submits that as the dispute between the parties stands amicably resolved, thus, nothing actually survives in this appeal and the same be dismissed as having become infructuous. He further submits that

resultantly even the connected appeal i.e. RSA-3322-2006 has also become infructuous. However, learned counsel for the respondents contends that although the efforts to resolve the dispute by a compromise were in progress, but he does not have any concrete instructions in this regard. Therefore, learned counsel for the parties submit that let these appeals be dismissed as having become infructuous, however, if any cause of action or dispute or interest still survives, they be afforded liberty to move requisite applications for restoration of these appeals for decision on merits.

Dismissed as infructuous with the liberty, as prayed for.

January 23, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge