

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P. No. 9236 of 2012

DATE OF DECISION: 09.07.2015

Harjeet Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present:- Mr. R.N. Lohan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the necessary relief has been granted to petitioners No. 6 to 11, the present petition has, therefore, become infructuous qua them.

Dismissed as having become infructuous qua petitioners No. 6 to 11.

The grievance of petitioners No.1 to 5 is that they were duly selected, still they have not been appointed.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State on the basis of reply submits that certain illegalities were found in the selection of petitioners and their selection was cancelled. Learned counsel further submits that

fresh advertisement was published thereafter but the petitioners have not challenged the same. Learned counsel also submits that in the inquiry, selection of Auxiliary Nurse Midwife (ANM), Computer Assistant and Data Entry Operator was found proper and the candidates belonging to these categories were allowed to join their duties and because of this reason only, petitioners No. 6 to 11 were allowed to join their duties.

Heard the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the parties and have also gone through the documents available on record.

Admittedly, some illegalities were found in the selection of petitioners no.1 to 5 and their selection was cancelled and they were not allowed to join their duties. Moreover, the petitioners cannot claim the appointment as a matter of right. Subsequently also, fresh advertisement was published and the same was also not challenged by the petitioners.

Accordingly, there is no merit in the contentions raised by learned counsel for the petitioners and the present petition being devoid of any merit is hereby dismissed qua petitioners No.1 to 5.

July 09, 2015
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE