

114

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.27025 of 2014
Date of Decision: 01.01.2015

ASI (Ex.) No.813080093 Ram Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Anil Ghanghas Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the second stay of the petitioner R.G.T.P.P at Khedar (Hisar) on promotion was lawful and in-consonance with the policy guidelines (Annexure P-1) and there was no legal or factual impediment in retaining the petitioner at Khedar on his second stint of service on a promoted post. Allegations of mala fide have also been alleged against respondent No.4. The allegations being against an officer who is not shown to be an appointing or disbursing officer of the petitioner are not to be gone into, however, a representation of the petitioner dated 13.11.2014/17.11.2014 (Annexure P-5) is to be looked into by the competent authority i.e. respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays indulgence of this Court to supplement the representation by way of a comprehensive representation

within a week.

In the event of filing such comprehensive representation, the respondents No.2 and 3 would be obligated to decide the same by way of considering the claim of the petitioner within four corners of the policy guidelines (Annexure P-1) preferably within a period of three weeks thereafter, by way of passing speaking order.

Petition stands disposed of.

A copy of this order be given under the signatures of Bench Secretary of this Court.

01.01.2015

Atik

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE