

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9069 of 2012
Date of decision: 31.03.2015

Sukhveer Kaur and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. PKS Phoolka, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Punjab.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the matter in issue has since become infructuous with efflux of time the same be dismissed as such.

Dismissed as having become infructuous.

March 31, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge