

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP no. 3592 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 23.02.2015

Dr. Anujeet Kaur Randhawa

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

1)Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2) To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present : Mr. Anupam Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr.Ashish Sharma, Addl. AG, Punjab

MAHESH GROVER, J.

It has been stated by the learned counsel for the petitioner that during the pendency of the instant writ petition, an order dated 16.5.2013 has been passed in terms of which the petitioner has been posted as a Senior Resident in the specialty of Obst. & Gyane Government Medical College, Amritsar.

Accordingly, for all intents and purposes, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous. Counsel for the petitioner would, however, make a submission that the claim of the petitioner would still survive with regard to appointment and posting as a Senior Resident in the specialty concerned against the earlier advertisement dated 11.10.2012 (Annexure-P-3). Counsel would submit that the aforestated order has been passed in pursuance to a subsequent advertisement dated 18.3.2013.

The writ petition is disposed of as infructuous. However,

liberty is granted to the petitioner to raise a claim for being granted appointment/posting as Senior Resident in the concerned specialty w.e.f. the date other Doctors may have been given such benefit in other specialties in pursuance to the earlier advertisement dated 11.10.2012 (Annexure-P-3). In case such a claim is raised, the same shall be considered strictly in accordance with law.

Petition disposed of.

February 23, 2015
rekha

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE