

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.26960 of 2014

Date of decision: 5.1.2015

Saroj Bala

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr.Prateek Pandit, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

The complaint in the petition is that even though the name of the petitioner ranks at No.1 in the seniority list of Steno-Typists in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Kapurthala, her case has not been considered for promotion despite many representations made including the last one on 8th September, 2014 [P-5].

It is always in the interest of service that seniority list should be finalised at the earliest as possible and promotions given accordingly as per due in terms of the rules and the promotion policy.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the 4th respondent is staking claim of seniority over the petitioner and in order to resolve the issue between the two and in the cadre, then a direction should go to the 3rd respondent to finalise the seniority list. It is held out to the Court that

the 4th respondent has objected to the placement of the petitioner at rank No.1. In view of the tussle between the two, a direction is issued to the Deputy Commissioner, Kapurthala to consider and decide the objections and, those which may be received and to decide the issue within 60 days of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Two months period is given just in case other objections are received. In case, they are not received within 15 days from the date of receipt of a certified copy of the order, then the 3rd respondent would consider and pass a final order within 21 days thereafter.

Disposed of.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

January 5, 2014
Paritosh Kumar