

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.3580 of 2013
Date of Decision: 29.01.2015.**

Om Parkash and others	Petitioners
Vs.	
Union of India & others	Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH**

Present: Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. A.D.S. Bal, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 4.

- 1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?***
- 2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?***
- 3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?***

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

For the reasons stated in our order of even date passed in **CWP No.8563 of 2014 & other connected cases** titled as **Union of India vs. Darshan Lal Bali & others** we are satisfied that the matter requires reconsideration by the Tribunal.

Consequently, the order dated 14.12.2012 (Annexure P-17) is set aside and the case is remitted to the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, Chandigarh, for fresh adjudication keeping in view the order passed by us in the above-said case.

Parties are directed to appear before the Tribunal on 30.03.2015.

Ordered accordingly.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

January 29, 2015

Atik

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**