

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh.

**CWP No. 26948 of 2014
Decided on 21.9.2015**

Manoj Kumar

--Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

--Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr. R.D.Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioner

Rakesh Kumar Jain,J: (Oral)

The petitioner has challenged the appointment of respondent No.4 to the post of Nambardar.

It is admitted that respondent No.4. has been chosen by the Collector and his choice has been upheld by the Divisional Commissioner and the Financial Commissioner.

The only argument raised by learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner is younger in age, being 35 years and is 10+2, while respondent No.4 is 42 years old and is 9th pass.

This Court is not to see the inter-se merits of the parties which has already been considered by the Court below and found that respondent No.4 is more suitable candidate for the post of Nambardar.

This Court can only interfere in the appointment of Nambardar if there is some perversity in the order. Since no perversity is pointed out in the order of the Courts below, therefore, I do not find any merit in this petition and the same is hereby dismissed.

21.9.2015
rr

(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge