

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

PANKAJ KUMAR
2015-01-28 12:40

CWP No.26932 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision.20.01.2015

Namrata Sharma

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Brijeshwar Singh Bhalla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The appropriate remedy for the petitioner would have been to complain of deficiency of service before the Consumer Forum, when the amount had not been released on a representation given to the Post Office already. The Post Office will consider the representation and release the amount if there is no legal impediment. If there is any justification for not releasing the amount to the petitioner, the reason shall be set forth and communicated to the petitioner within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

2. The writ petition is disposed of as above.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

January 20, 2015
Pankaj*