

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.26014 of 2015

Date of Decision:-11.12.2015.

Lakhwinder Singh Khairpuria

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI**

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Satbir Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner's objections to shift his and family members' votes from village Patli Dabar to village Ding Road have been turned down by the Prescribed Authority on the ground that such objections were submitted after expiry of the prescribed period. The plea taken by the Prescribed Authority being factually correct, no fault can be found with that order. However, it does not mean that the Superior Authorities to whom powers are vested under the Haryana Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 are precluded from redressing the petitioner's grievances. If it is found that the decision to include the petitioner and his family members in the voter list of village Patli

Dabar is unfair or it is likely to cause extreme hardship to them, the Superior Authorities can very well interfere with the impugned action. We, thus, dispose of this writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to approach the District Election Officer, Sirsa who shall consider the request of the petitioner sympathetically and in accordance with law. It will be appreciated if such a decision is taken before the elections are held.

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

December 11, 2015.

sandeep sethi