

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.25973 of 2015

Date of Decision:-11.12.2015.

Chhaju Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Greater Mohali Area Development Authority (GMADA) and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI**

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Saurabh Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

Since no registered instrument has been executed in favour of the petitioner so far pursuant to the settlement-cum-agreement dated 20.7.2015 (P-1), we are of the considered view that the instant writ petition seeking a consequential relief from the Authorities on the premise that the petitioner is owner of six *kanal* land, is premature. The appropriate recourse for the petitioner would be to approach the Civil Court and/or any other appropriate forum to prefect his title.

With liberty aforementioned, disposed of.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

December 11, 2015.

sandeep sethi