

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 26641 of 2014
Date of decision: 06.02.2015**

Sandeep Singh

...Petitioner

V/s.

Punjab Subordinate Staff Selection Board
and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA.

Present: Mr. Anish Batra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sushant Maini, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral).

The passage given and the original answer sheet of the petitioner in the typing test in Punjabi have been produced in a sealed cover. It has been opened in Court. Photocopies of the passage given and the answer sheet are taken on record as mark 'A' and the originals are returned to Mr. Maini. A copy thereof has also been handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioner. There are 31 ½ mistakes in the test. Even if the two non-mistakes are read in favour of the petitioner, he is still short of one mark for passing the test. This is as a result of the petitioner's oversight when he made the inspection with respect to the word "HON". He did not take cognizance of the fact that he should have typed the word "DE". He should have typed the word "HONDE". This is the mistake which goes to the demerit of the petitioner. As a result the examiner was not wrong in assigning marks for the Punjabi typing test. Resultantly, the petitioner does not make it on merit and in this petition, no relief can be granted in exercise of extraordinary writ jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution.

In view of the above, this petition fails and is dismissed.

However, a photocopy of the answer-sheet of typing test in Punjabi is handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioner for passing it on to his client and in case he has any further grievance with respect to the result, he would remain at liberty to revive this petition.

February 06, 2015
Divyanshi

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE