

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANAAT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No. 25925 of 2015(O&M)

Date of Decision: December 24 , 2015.

Namrata Wahee

..... PETITIONER (s)

Versus

Union of India and others

..... RESPONDENT (s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Amit Parashar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. IPS Doabia, Senior Panel Counsel
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Madan Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Mr. B.M.Vinayak, DAG, Punjab.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

LISA GILL, J.

Petitioner was not permitted to take the online preliminary examination for the post of Clerk on the ground that she submitted her e-Adhaar Card as proof of identity and not the original Adhaar Card or other proof of identity as mentioned in Advertisement, Annexure P1. Petitioner impugns the

condition in the advertisement to the effect that e-Adhaar Card is not an acceptable/valid proof of identity.

By way of interim direction of this Court, petitioner was permitted to take online preliminary examination on 11.12.2015 subject to the decision of this writ petition. It was directed that her result be not declared. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 was subsequently directed to produce petitioner's result in Court today. Perusal of the result reveals that petitioner has not cleared the online preliminary examination.

In view of the above, question raised in this writ petition is rendered academic.

Learned counsel for the petitioner in this situation, seeks to withdraw this writ petition having been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of accordingly.

December 24 , 2015.
'om'

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE