

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 25837 of 2015
Date of Decision : 10.12.2015

Pankaj Sibbal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

- 1) *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2) *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3) *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Paramjeet Singh, J.(Oral)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner realizes that order dated 06.05.2015 at Annexure P-1 has been passed under Rule 18 of Post Office Saving Bank General Rules, 1981 and thereafter recovery certificate has been sent to Tehsildar as the recovery can be made as arrears of land revenue under the provision of Rule 18 of Post Office Saving Bank General Rules, 1981. Counsel has further realized that he has not taken into consideration the provision of the Post Office Saving Bank General Rules, 1981 and wants to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file afresh.

Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty subject to costs of ₹5,000/- to be deposited with the High Court Lawyers Welfare Fund, Chandigarh.

December 10, 2015.
kanchan

(PARAMJEET SINGH)
JUDGE