

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.25798 of 2015
Date of Decision : 15.12.2015**

Nirmla Devi

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

Present: Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl.AG, Haryana.

MAHESH GROVER.J.

The petitioner claims that her transfer orders have been cancelled maliciously. The respondents have pleaded that the person on account of whom the transfer orders of the petitioner have been cancelled is suffering from a chronic ailment and has 1-1/2 years to retire. Therefore, keeping in view the policy instructions the transfer of the petitioner was cancelled to retain one Smt. Bimla Devi Kundu. The petitioner contends that she is a widow and, therefore, also needs consideration.

Since the impugned orders have not been passed on account of any malice and is a result of an administrative exigency, this court would not like to interfere in the exercise of its jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. The petition is dismissed. The petitioner, however, is at liberty to move the respondents.

15.12.2015
dss

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE