

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.26380 of 2014

Date of Decision.02.02.2015

M/s CWG Water Treatment Pvt. Limited

.....Petitioner

Versus

University Grants Commission and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. K.S. Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. For enforcement of contract for recovery of money, the appropriate remedy shall be to institute a suit and recover the same. Even if it is admitted, the writ petition for enforcement of such contract is not appropriate in the light of law laid down by this Court in CWP No.14621 of 2014 decided on 05.11.2014 following the decisions of the Supreme Court. The petitioner may engage the respondents in such communication as it is appropriate and secure what is due. If the amount is not paid, the remedy will be elsewhere and not through a writ petition.

2. The writ petition is disposed of with the above observations.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

February 02, 2015
Pankaj*