

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.109

CWP No.25652 of 2015

Date of decision: 18.12.2015

Ram Chander and another

....Petitioners

versus

The State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Namit Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that between the date of filing of the present petition and listing thereof, on 10.12.2015, the petitioners have been promoted to the post of Assistant. The order of promotion is prospective in nature.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that though the petitioners are satisfied on being promoted, the only claim that they wish to agitate with the respondents is to promote them w.e.f. an earlier date i.e. 25.07.2015 and for this the petitioners have already served upon the respondents a legal notice dated 18.08.2015 (Annexure P-4).

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that at this stage, he would be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 18.08.2015 (Annexure P-4), issued on behalf of the petitioners.

I find the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners to be reasonable.

Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 18.08.2015 (Annexure P-4), issued on behalf of the petitioners, by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, preferably within four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioners and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioners, they would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

December 18, 2015

Jyoti 1