

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

205

CWP-8405 of 2012

Decided on : 27.08.2015

Rakesh Kumar and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present : Mr.Anurag Jain, Advocate
for the petitioners.
Mr.Rajesh K.Sheoran, Addl.AG, Haryana.
Mr.Raman B. Garg, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J. (Oral)

Mr.Anurag Jain, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioners and submits that the earlier counsel, namely, Shri Hari Om Attri, Advocate has joined the Judicial Services in Rajasthan long back and handed over the brief to him. He had appeared in this case through Memo of Appearance and informed the petitioners for executing the Power of Attorney in his favour and to complete other instructions but the petitioners have not chosen to come forward to engage him as their counsel nor has made any other arrangement to pursue the writ petition.

Mr.Anurag Jain, Advocate states that he may be allowed to withdraw himself from this petition for want of

instructions.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioners and keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, Mr. Anurag Jain, Advocate is allowed to withdraw himself from this writ petition.

Since no one is appearing on behalf of the petitioners, therefore, this writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

[Rakesh Kumar Jain]
Judge

27.08.2015
sd