

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.2968 of 2013
Date of Decision: March 26, 2015

Lilu Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr.K.K.Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Ravi Pratap Singh, AAG, Haryana,
for the State.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral

Learned State counsel has passed on the copy of order, whereby the services of the petitioner have been regularized and, therefore, the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed. This position is not disputed by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the fact that the services of the petitioner have been regularised, the writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.

March 26, 2015
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE