

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

238a

Civil Writ Petition No.26336 of 2014
Date of decision: 25.05.2015

Shish Pal

....Petitioner

versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. K.L. Dhingra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. D.S. Nalwa, Advocate for the respondents.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that similarly situated employees have been granted the benefit but the representations filed by the petitioner are still pending and no action has been taken. Learned counsel also submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case the directions are issued to the respondents to decide the representations(Annexures P-16 and P-17) moved by the petitioner.

In view of limited prayer of the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the representations (Annexures P-16 and P-17) filed by the petitioner and pass necessary order in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

May 25, 2015
sonia g.