

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

CWP No.2555 of 2015

Date of decision:13.02.2015

Bharti

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana & another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Mr. Lokesh K. Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

.....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

It is the submission of the counsel for the petitioner that an application had been preferred by the petitioner for the post of PGT (Sanskrit) in response to an advertisement issued on 07.06.2012 by the Haryana School Teachers Selection Board. It is further submitted that in the process of selection in which the petitioner had duly participated, her merit position was determined at Sr. No.679 and whereas candidates lower in merit i.e. at Sr. No.696, 703 and 722 have been issued appointment letters for the post in question.

Argument raised is that the action of the respondent-authorities is arbitrary and violative of Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India.

Without even going into the merits of the case and without ascertaining the correctness of the averments made in the writ petition, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition by granting liberty to the petitioner to submit a representation as regards her grievance to the respondent/authorities. In the eventuality of any such representation being preferred, the respondent/authorities would be obligated to consider the

same and to take a final decision thereupon strictly in accordance with law within a period of six weeks' after the date of submission of such representation.

Disposed of.

13.02.2015

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**