

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

C.W.P. No. 2550 of 2015

Date of Decision: February 13, 2015

Barjinder Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

Present: Mr. P.K. Goklaney, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

Instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to allow the petitioner to re-exercise his option for fixation of his pay in view of the instructions issued by the Government as well as in view of the judgments passed by this Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already moved a representation dated 01.09.2014 (Annexure P/7), but no action has been taken thereon. Learned counsel relies upon a judgment of this Court passed in CWP No.3285 of 2010 – Chaman Lal and others vs. State of Punjab and others, decided on 25.02.2010 (Annexure P/3). Learned counsel confines his prayer for a direction to the respondents to

consider and decide his representation dated 01.09.2014.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and after perusing the paper-book, however, without commenting on merits of the case and without giving notice to the respondents at this stage, instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents, to look into the grievance of the petitioner specifically made in the representation (Annexure P/7) and pass an appropriate speaking order in the light of judgment (Annexure P/3). Needful shall be done within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and copy of the order so passed shall be communicated to the petitioner forthwith.

February 13, 2015
vkd

[Paramjeet Singh]
Judge