

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.25360 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision:04.12.2015

Rajesh Sharma

... Petitioner

VS.

HUDA & another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr. Deepak Sonak, Advocate for the petitioner.

...

S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE.

The petitioner has challenged notices under Section 17(1) and 17(2) of the Haryana Urban Development Authority Act, 1977. The notice under Section 17(2) was in furtherance of notice under Section 17(1). The petitioner has been awarded an opportunity of being heard in the matter as the reply to the notice was not found to be satisfactory. No order has been passed as yet.

2. The petitioner must in the first instance appear before the authorities and show cause as to why the recovery sought to be made ought not to be made.

3. The petition is, therefore, disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to avail an opportunity of being heard granted by the said order.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner states that the officer concerned was not available for the hearing afforded to him on 22.09.2015 by the said notice.

5. The writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondents to pass a reasoned order after hearing the petitioner.

**(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE**

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

04.12.2015

harjeet