

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.26022 of 2014

Date of Decision:17/11/2015

Pardeep Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Ms. Anu Chatrath Kapur, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Loveinder Kaur, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. To be referred to the reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. D.A.G. Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice and after seeking instructions submits that the respondents are not in the process of removing the petitioners but they would be governed by the terms and conditions as laid down in the service agreement executed between them and the respondent-department and further subject to the satisfactory discharge of their duties.

In view of the aforesaid statement, there is no immediate cause for the petitioners to maintain the present petition for the relief claimed.

Disposed of accordingly.

However, if any adverse action is sought to be taken against the petitioners, they would be at liberty to approach this Court through a fresh petition.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

17.11.2015
rajeev