

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

222

**Civil Writ Petition No.28531 of 2013
Date of decision: July 20, 2015**

Anguri Devi

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. B.K. Bagri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sachin Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.3.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.(Oral)

The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release the retiral benefits of the husband of the petitioner along with interest @ 18% per annum on the delayed amount of gratuity, arrears of ex-gratia compensation, LTC and the revised last drawn salary which have been withheld without any reason.

Learned counsel for respondent No.3 submits that all retiral benefits have been released to the petitioner whereas learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is also entitled for interest on the delayed amount of retiral benefits as well as LTC amount which have not been released to the petitioner so far.

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner is not entitled for benefit of LTC.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the fact that all the retiral benefits have been released except interest and LTC. The present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the representation, filed by the petitioner by passing a speaking order in accordance with law and after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

Disposed of accordingly.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

July 20, 2015
sonia g.