

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.25212 of 2015
Date of Decision: December 03, 2015

Ragbir Singh and othersPetitioners

versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI.

Present: Mr.Rajesh Lamba, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr.Anil Mehta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

-.-

1. *Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 & 2 only at
this stage.

On our asking, Mr.Anil Mehta, learned Deputy
Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on their behalf.

Let three copies of the writ petition be supplied to
the State counsel during the course of day failing which this
order shall be automatically recalled and the writ petition shall
be deemed to have been dismissed for non-prosecution.

In view of the nature of order which we propose to
pass, it is not necessary to seek any counter-reply from
respondent Nos.1&2 or to serve respondent No.3 at this stage
as no order prejudicial to its interest is being passed.

The petitioners are residents of village Bhupani,
Block and District Faridabad. They claim themselves to be
living Below the Poverty Line (BPL) to whom the Gram

Panchayat decided to allot plots of 100 square yards. The petitioners allege that though they are fully eligible for allotment under the 'Mahatma Gandhi Gramin Basti Yojna' which the State Government decided to implement vide Policy memo dated 01.12.2008 but for the reasons best known to them, the respondents are not considering their claim. It further appears from their averments that the eligible persons were identified and the petitioners were included in such list but no follow up action has been taken by the Deputy Commissioner, Faridabad or the Gram Panchayat. The petitioners are said to have in this regard represented the Deputy Commissioner, Faridabad on 03.07.2015 (P-2) but finding no response thereto, have approached this Court.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioners and taking into consideration the fact that the petitioners have placed reliance on a Government Policy which, according to them, has not been given effect, we dispose of this writ petition, without expressing any views on the merits, with a direction to respondent no.2 to verify the claim of the petitioners as contained in their representation dated 03.07.2015 (P-2) and take an appropriate decision in accordance with law, within a period of four months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.

Ordered accordingly.

Dasti.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

December 03, 2015
mohinder

[P.B.BAJANTHRI]
JUDGE