

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.25888 of 2014
Decided on : 17.12.2015**

Karishan @ Krishan

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present : None for the petitioner.

Ms.Monica Chhiber Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

G.S. Sandhawalia , J. (Oral)

Petitioner seeks quashing of the order dated 26.07.2013 (Annexure P2) passed by respondent No.4 whereby employment is being denied to the petitioner, as per the rehabilitation/resettlement policy dated 18.11.1993 (Annexure P1). As per the said order, the petitioner was not granted the relief on account of one Khem Chand, who had been given employment, who was the uncle of the petitioner.

However, in the written statement filed by the respondents, it has been mentioned that there was an error in the order and a supplementary speaking order had been issued on 17.04.2015.

A perusal of the said order would go on to show that one Raghunath Singh son of Fakir Chand, who was the brother of the petitioner's mother and the maternal uncle, had been granted employment, in pursuance of the rehabilitation/resettlement policy.

Copy of the appointment order dated 13.07.1995 (Annexure R4/2) has been attached. No replication has been filed to controvert the said allegation. Once the appointment was granted wayback in the year 1995, under the policy and the said fact has not been mentioned in the writ petition, the same is liable to be dismissed on this score alone. Even otherwise, the purpose of the policy being a concession, the claim of the petitioner is not sustainable at this point of time, in view of one member of the family having been granted the benefit.

In such circumstances, the present writ petition is dismissed on account of concealment of facts.

DECEMBER 17, 2015
sailsh

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE