

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.2842 of 2013
Date of decision: 31.03.2015

Sunil Kumar and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. AS Gill, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Punjab.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the matter in issue has since become infructuous with efflux of time the same be dismissed as such.

Dismissed as having become infructuous.

March 31, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge