

216

**M/s Balwant Singh Jagroop Singh and Company.....Petitioner
Versus**

State of Punjab & othersRespondents

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH**

Present: Mr. Hardeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Inder Pal Goyat, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Advocate
for respondent No.6.

Mr. Munish Kumar Singla, Advocate
for respondent No.7.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

In compliance to order dated 06.01.2015 Mr. Varun Roojam, Deputy Commissioner, Patiala, is present and has filed his reply by way of an affidavit which is taken on record.

The Deputy Commissioner informs that the tailor-made terms and conditions of auction earlier fixed by the Gram Panchayat, apparently to favour the private respondent, have been appropriately modified and now anyone can participate in the public auction. It is pointed out that as per the provisions contained in the Rules, there shall be 10% annual increase also.

The Deputy Commissioner, further states that the Additional

Deputy Commissioner, Patiala, shall personally supervise the public auction which shall be held after wide publicity and shall be videographed.

In view of the above stated developments, no further directions need to be issued in this case which is accordingly disposed of, however, with a further clarificatory direction that in the event of bid going in favour of the person other than the outgoing lessee, the previous lessee shall be required to remove the structures, chimney or any other instrument installed at the site within two months unless the successful bidder agrees to retain the same after mutual negotiations.

Ordered accordingly.

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE

20.01.2015
Atik

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE